

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** PC9563JTJ KIM

05/02/97 08/850,353

HM22/0530

EXAMINER

GREGG C BENSON PFIZER INC PATENT DEPARTMENT EASTERN POINT ROAD GROTON CT 06340

WHITE, E PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT**

1623

DATE MAILED:

05/30/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

08/850,353

Apphoarit(s)

Examiner

Everett White

Group Art Unit 1623

KIM

Advisory Action

THI	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) X expires <u>four</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
1	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on
Ap _l	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>May 19, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
	The proposed amendment(s):
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit_or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons already of record in the final rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, Second Paragraph and 35 USC
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons already of record in the final rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, Second Paragraph and 35 USC 103(a). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons already of record in the final rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, Second Paragraph and 35 USC 103(a). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons already of record in the final rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, Second Paragraph and 35 USC 103(a). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims
□ ⊠	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):